

#### DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY

7272 Cleanwater Lane, Olympia, Washington 98504

206/753-2353

MEMORANDUM January 29, 1979

To:

Doug Houck

From:

Bill Yake

Subject: Chehalis STP Class II Inspection

#### Introduction:

A Class II inspection was performed at the Chehalis STP on November 21 and 22, 1978. Jim Armstrong and other facility personnel were present. DOE representatives were Doug Houck and Gerry Caulkins (S.W. Region) and Mike Morhous and Bill Yake (Water and Wastewater Monitoring). The Chehalis plant is a secondary plant of unusual design. Influent comes from a pump station, is comminuted, passed through a grit chamber with flotation, and then routed to two Parshall flumes, which normally would split the flow to two primary settling basins. One of these basins was down due to a non-level sludge arm which was shearing pins. The flow can then pass to two trickling filters and/or two aeration basins. The trickling filters had just been started up, had little fixed growth and substantial leakage at the base of the distributor arms. One aeration basin was down because the floating aerators were inoperable. The flow then passes to a secondary clarifier, a Venturi flow meter, a chlorinator, and finally, to a well-designed contact chamber. Primary sludge is digested in anaerobic digesters, the secondary sludge in an aerobic digestor. A flotation thickener, which is designed to thicken this sludge, was also down during the inspection. Unthickened, digested sludge is being hauled to the airport for land distribution.

The plant effluent is discharged to the Chehalis River (10-23-13), which the Five-Year Strategy defines as a surface water segment where available data is insufficient to determine if the water quality goal is being achieved.

# Findings and Conclusions:

Compliance with NPDES permit limitations was marginal. Unchlorinated effluent was not meeting BOD permit limitations for concentration (30 mg/l) or loading (350 lbs/day). Chlorinated effluent was meeting BOD limitations. The concentration (30 mg/l) and percent removal (85 percent) limitations on suspended solids were not being met. The recorded flow (2.05 MGD) was well above the monthly permitted average of Weak influent strength indicates substantial infiltration/ 1.4 MGD. inflow.

2 3 3

Memo to Doug Houck January 29, 1979 Page Two

There appear to be three major reasons why the facility performance was inadequate:

- 1. The facility is not well maintained. This is evidenced by the fact that the following unit processes were inoperable:
  - a. One of two primary settling basins was down due to an off-level sludge scraper.
  - b. One of two aeration basins was down due to aerator failure.
  - c. The flotation sludge thickener (Komline unit) was down.

In addition, leakage at the base of the trickling filter distributor arms was causing inadequate wastewater distribution over the filter.

- 2. There is no control method being used to operate the plant. This appears to be due to both physical deficiencies in the plant (e.g., no means of measuring or monitoring return sludge flow) and lack of operator familiarity with control methodology.
- 3. A combination of excessive flow rates (probably due to infiltration/inflow) and undersized unit processes. This situation is probably most critical with the secondary clarifier which has difficulty handling flows in excess of 2.0 to 2.5 MGD.

To provide adequate treatment until completion of the facility upgrade (2 to 3 years), we make the following recommendations:

- 1. Inoperable equipment should be repaired or replaced at the earliest possible date and maintenance improved to assure operation of all unit processes in the plant.
- 2. A rational control method should be developed and instituted by the town or their consultant. Necessary physical modifications (primarily in-plant flow measuring devices at necessary points) should be made concurrently with method development. These would probably include measuring flow to each of the aeration basins and perhaps each of the trickling filters. In addition, capability to measure and accurately control return sludge and waste sludge rates should be incorporated.

In addition to the above-mentioned deficiencies, the facility's dissolved oxygen meter has been inoperable for approximately 9 months. Thus dissolved oxygen levels in the aeration basin and aerobic digesters can not be monitored. This meter should be repaired immediately.

Memo to Doug Houck January 29, 1979 Page Three

Trace metal concentrations in influent, effluent, and sludges were generally lower than concentrations found in other municipal plants in Washington State.

## Review of Laboratory Procedures and Techniques:

Split sample results showed excellent agreement for the BOD and suspended solids tests. In general, laboratory procedures were very good. There were, however, several recommendations made to bring STP laboratory procedures in line with those recommended by the Department.

# BOD<sub>5</sub>:

- 1. Dilution water temperatures should be checked before setup and brought to 20°C.
- 2. Blank drops are not accounted for if the five-day blank depletion is less than 0.4 mg/l. Blank depletions should be accounted for by using the following formula for calculating results:

- 3. Zero day d.o. should be determined from sample dilution, not dilution water.
- 4. A thermometer in a water bath placed on same shelf as test bottles should be used to check and calibrate incubator temperatures.

# Suspended Solids:

- The filter being presently used (A.P. Millipor prefilter) is not approved for suspended solids testing and should be replaced with an approved filter (Reeves Angel 940 AH or Gelman A/E) as soon as possible.
- 2. Filters should be cooled in dessicator before tare weight is determined.

3. Filters should be cooled in dessicator after sample is filtered and dried before they are weighed.

## Fecal Coliform:

- 1. Samples are taken at 6 a.m. This is probably not indicative of normal conditions and should be changed to sometime between 10 a.m. and 5 p.m.
- 2. Water bath temperatures should be regulated carefully. A temperature of 45.8°C was noted during the inspection. Temperatures should be maintained at  $44.5 \pm 0.2$ °C.
- 3. High effluent suspended solids concentrations are interfering with the fecal coliform test. The plant is having trouble filtering more than 30 mls per test and this is resulting in counts of zero to 13 colonies per filter. Duplicate samples should be run to achieve better accuracy at this low sample volume. Increased volumes might well result in solids interference on the filter.

Class II Field Review and Sample Collection 24 Hour Composite Sampler Installations

Sampler Date and Time Installed Location 1. Influent 11/21/78 - 1010 Downstream end of Parshall Flume aliquot - 250 ml/30 min 2. Unchlorinated effluent 11/21/78 - 1000 Secondary clarifier launder outfall aliquot - 250 m1/30 min 3. Chlorinated effluent 11/21/78 - 1015 Effluent end of chlorine contact chamber aliquot - 250 ml/30 min Grab Samples Date and Time Analysis Sample Location 11/21/78 - 1015 Fecal Coliform, Chlorine Res. Chlorinated Effluent as above 1. 11/21/78 - 1330 2. Fecal Coliform, Chlorine Res. Chlorinated Effluent as above 11/21/78 Trace Metals Anaerobic sludge 3. 4. 5. 6. Flow Measuring Device 1. Type -In-line Venturi Dimensions a. Meets standard criteria Yes Explain: In-line meter, could not No be checked for accuracy. b. Accuracy check Actual Instan. Flow Recorder Reading Recorder Accuracy (% of inst. flow) 1. 2. 3. is within accepted 15% error limitations is in need of calibration Field Data Parameter Date and Time Sample Location Result 11/21/78 - 1010 pH, Cond, Temp. Influent See Results. pH, Cond, Temp. 11/21/78 - 1000 Unchlorinated effluent See Results. pH, Cond, Temp. 11/21/78 - 1015 Chlorinated effluent See Results. Total Res. Chlorine 11/21/78 - 1015 Chlorinated effluent 1.25 mg/1

Chlorinated effluent

 $1.00 \, \text{mg/1}$ 

Total Res. Chlorine 11/21/78 - 1330

The following table is a comparison of laboratory results from 24 hour composite(s) together with NPDES permit effluent limitations. Additional results pertinent to this inspection have also been included.

> NPDES (Month averag

> > 30 350

> > 30 350

6.0-9.

1.4

	DOE Laboratory Results			STP R		
	Influent	Unchlori- nated Eff.	Chlori- nated Eff.	Influent	Unchlori- nated Eff.	
BOD <sub>5</sub> mg/l lbs/day	172 2940	37 633	19 325	188 3210	41 701	
TSS mg/l lbs/day	100 1710	3 <b>5</b> 598	28 479	122 2090	38 650	
Total Plant Flow	·				2.05	
Fecal Coliform (#/100m1)			14 Est. <sup>2</sup> 14 Est. <sup>2</sup>			
Chlorine Res. (mg/l)			1.25 mg/1 1.0 mg/12			
COD (mg/1) pH	341	67	(e)			
Sp. Cond. (umhos/cm)	388	328	332			
NH <sub>3</sub> -N (mg/1)	4.8	3.2	3.4			
NO <sub>2</sub> -N (mg/1)	<0.1	0.2	<0.1			
$NO_3-N (mg/1)$	2.2	3,4	3.6			
0-P0 <sub>4</sub> -P (mg/1)	4.3	3.8	4.1			
T-PO <sub>4</sub> -P (mg/1)	7.0	3.8	4.5			
Total Solids (mg/1)	335	227	230		,	
TNVS (mg/I)	190	167	165			
TSS (mg/1)	100	35	28			
TSNVS (mg/l)	32	18	17			
	·					
		School State				
		Negative Colonia	Patranananananananananananananananananana			

<sup>\*</sup> Field Analysis "<" is "less than" and ">" is "greater than"

<sup>(</sup>e) Apparent laboratory error (1) 11/21/78 - 1015

<sup>(2) 11/21/78 - 1330</sup> 

DOE

		DOF					
	Influent (ug/l)	Effluent (ug/l)	Anaerobic Sludge mg/kg dry wt.	Aerobic Sludgé mg/kg dry wt.		, 	MOI (MOI Ave
Copper	30	10	360	310			
Chromium	<10	<10	45	15			
Lead	100	<50	420	150			
Zinc	140	56	2100	800		·	
Cadmium	<10	<10	6	5			
Nickel	<50	<50	35	30			
Percent Solids			10.2%	6.3%			
				en e			
			Ale della proprieta	u - s a addinionale e - s -			
			voine (in the control of the control	ar, e prima compa anga		·	
				4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -			
					TO THE STATE OF TH	·	
		ris-unitri-s-biologic pre-set		V - 4.00			
	·	**************************************					
				A			
			går eg pyramination of the desired				
			THE STATE OF THE S				
		A remain structure				and the second s	

<sup>\*</sup> Field Analysis

<sup>&</sup>quot;<" is "less than" and ">" is "greater than"